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Theme: Dealing with thoughts of the repulsive and the attractive

Translated by Piya Tan ©2003; rev 2010

Introduction¹

1 The main teaching of the Tikaṇḍāki Sutta—the five perceptions (pañca saññā)—is an important set of meditation strategies, especially of the saints, mentioned in all the five Collections (pañca nikāya), namely:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indriya,bhāvanā Sutta³</td>
<td>M 152.11-16/3:301 f</td>
<td>SD 17.13.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metta,sahagata Sutta⁴</td>
<td>S 46.54/5:120</td>
<td>SD 10.11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rahogata Sutta 1</td>
<td>S 52.1/5:295</td>
<td>SD 12.17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padīpōpama Sutta</td>
<td>S 54.8/5:317 f</td>
<td>SD 62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tikaṇḍāki Sutta</td>
<td>A 5.144/3:169 f</td>
<td>SD 2.12.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paṭissambhidā, magga</td>
<td>Pm 2:212 f (Iddhi,kathā)</td>
<td>SD 27.5a (4.5.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visuddhi, magga</td>
<td>Vism 12.36-38/381 f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 In the Samsādanaīya Sutta (D 28), Šāriputta calls these practices “psychic powers that are influx-free, acquisition-free, noble” (iddhi anāsāvā anupadhihī ariyā), referring to the nature of the arhat’s mind.³ The Paṭissambhidā, magga calls it “the noble power” or “the power of the noble ones” (ariy’iddhi).⁴ It is a kind of subtle “magic of transformation” by which the habitual emotional attitudes can be changed at will or replaced by equanimity. In its perfection, this practice “is only produced in noble ones (ariya) who have reached mind-mastery” (Vism 12.36-38/381 f).

3 The Aṅguttara Commentary, however, emphasizes that those of lesser attainments as well can and should practice it, if they are experienced in insight meditation and have keen intelligence. Insight meditation is helpful in this respect as it teaches us to distinguish between the facts of experience and the emotive (or other) reactions to them. With keen intelligence one can become aware of the possibility of preventing any such reactions.

4 The word “unrepulsive” in the sutta may refer to persons, things, situations or mental states that are either attractive or indifferent. The Paṭissambhidā, magga explains the five modes of perception thus:⁷

In the case of an agreeable object (eg as sensually attractive person), one either pervades it with the thought of futility or regards it as impermanent.

In the case of a disagreeable object (eg a hostile person), one either pervades it with lovingkindness or regards it as physical elements.⁸

¹ Based partly on notes by Nyanaponika & Bodhi, Numerical Discourses of the Buddha, 1999:298 m26-27.
² It calls them “the power of the noble ones” (ariy’iddhi), or, more fully, “powers that are influx-free, free from acquisition, noble” (iddhi anāsāvā anupadhihī ariyā); and these powers are declared by Šāriputta (in praise of the Buddha) to be “unsurpassable” (anuttariya) by others. (D 28.18/3:112 f) = SD 14.14.
³ The Sutta calls the arhat a “noble one with cultivated faculties” (ariya bhāvit’indriya), where bhāvit’indriya refers to the mastery of these 5 perceptions.
⁴ Also called Halidda, vasana S.
⁵ D 28.18/3:112 f = SD 10.12.
⁶ Pm 2:212 f. See Miracles = SD 27.5a (456) for text.
⁷ Here arranged according to the Aṅguttara listing. Cf Metta,sahagata S (S 46.54.12/5:119) & SD 10.11(5). See §2 & n.
⁸ “Elements,” dhātu. The analysis of the elements (dhātu, vavathāna), the last of the 40 traditional meditation methods, is described in great detail at Vism 11.27-119/347-371. The method of given in brief in Mahā Satipaṭṭhāna S (D 22.6/2:294 f) and Satipaṭṭhāna S (M 10.12/1:57 f), and in detail in Mahā Hathhipaadumāna S (M 28.6-28/1:185-191), Rāhul’ovāda S (M 1:421-425/62:8-17) and Dhātu Vibhaṅga S (M 140.13-18/3:240-243).
One pervades both agreeable and disagreeable objects with the thought of foulness or regards them as impermanent; thus one perceives both as repulsive.

One pervades both disagreeable and agreeable objects with lovingkindness or regards them as physical elements; thus one perceives both as unrepulsive.

Avoiding both disagreeable and agreeable, objects, having seen a form with the eyes, heard a sound with the ears, smelt smells with the nose, tasted tastes with the tongue, sensed a touch with the body, cognized a mind-object with the mind, one is neither glad nor sad, but abides in equanimity towards that, mindful and clearly aware.

(Pm 22.26/2:212 f; Vism 12.36/381 f; SA 3:171 f)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reflection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Lust-arousing object: see it as repulsive</td>
<td>Perception of foulness, or of impermanence</td>
<td>“May I not lust for a pleasant object”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Hate-arousing object: see it as unrepulsive</td>
<td>Lovingkindness, or the body as being comprised of the four elements</td>
<td>“May I not hate an unpleasant object”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) An object arousing both lust and hate: see it as repulsive</td>
<td>Perception of foulness, or of impermanence</td>
<td>“May I not lust for something pleasant nor hate something unpleasant”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) An object arousing both hate and lust: see it as unrepulsive</td>
<td>Lovingkindness, or the four elements</td>
<td>“May I not hate something unpleasant nor lust for something pleasant”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Seeing an object as arousing neither lust nor hate</td>
<td>Equanimity (avoiding lust, hate and delusion)</td>
<td>“May I not lust for the pleasant, nor hate something unpleasant, nor ignore something neutral”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The five perceptions simplified

5 Sutts like the Indriya,bhāvanā Sutta (M 152)\(^{10}\) show that the arhats have fully mastered these five contemplations. They are also mentioned in the Metta Sahagata Sutta (S 46.54).\(^{11}\) However, as found in the Tikaṇḍaki Sutta, they are taught to unawakened monks for overcoming the three unwholesome roots. The Aṅguttara Commentary says that the last item (5) is “the six-factorized equanimity (cha-l’ang’upekkhā), similar to, though not identical with, that possessed by the arhats.” (AA 3:290)


READING

\(^{9}\) See Cūla Vedalla S (M 44.24-25/1:303) = SD 40a.9; Pahāna S (S 36.34/4:205 f) = SD 31.3; Anusaya = SD 31.3(3).

\(^{10}\) M 152.11-16/3:301.

\(^{11}\) S 46.54/5:115-121 = SD 10.11.
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[169] 1 Once the Blessed One was staying in the Tikaṇḍaki, vana [Three-thorn Grove] near Sāketa. There the Blessed One addressed the monks:

“Bhikshus!”

“Bhante!” the monks replied in assent to the Blessed One.

The 4 practices

The Blessed One said this:12

2 (1) “It is good, bhikshus, that one were to dwell from time to time perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive
(appaṭiṭṭhā paṭikkāla, saññī vihareyya).

(2) It is good that one were to dwell from time to time perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive
(paṭikkāla appaṭiṭṭhā, saññī vihareyya).

(3) It is good that one were to dwell from time to time perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive and in the repulsive
(appaṭiṭṭhā ca paṭikkāla ca paṭikkāla, saññī vihareyya).

(4) It is good that one were to dwell from time to time perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive and in the unrepulsive
(paṭikkāla ca appaṭiṭṭhā ca appaṭiṭṭhā, saññī vihareyya).

(5) It is good, bhikshus, if one were, from time to time, rejecting both the unrepulsive and the repulsive, dwell in equanimity, mindful and clearly aware,
(appaṭiṭṭhāna ca paṭikkālaṇa ca tad ubhayaṁ abhinivajjtvā upekkhako vihareyya sati sampajāno).

Perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive

(1) And, bhikshus, for what purpose,13 should a monk dwell perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive?

3 Thinking, ‘May no lust arise in me towards objects that arouse lust’—for this purpose, bhikshus, should a monk dwell perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive.

[In the case of an agreeable object (eg a sensually attractive person), he either pervades it with the thought of foulness or regards it as impermanent.]14

Perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive

(2) And, bhikshus, for what purpose, should a monk dwell perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive?

4 Thinking, ‘May no hate arise in me towards objects that arouse hate’—for this purpose, bhikshus, should a monk dwell perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive.

[In the case of a disagreeable object (eg a hostile person), he either pervades it with lovingkindness or regards it as physical elements.]15

---

12 The Pm arrangement and Vism that follows it is: 2, 1, 4, 3, 5. The 5 perceptions also occur in Metta, sahagata S (S 46.54.12/5:119) = SD 10.11.


14 These amplified notes here and in the following 4 sections are from Paṭisambhidā, magga (see Intro).

15 For an example of this method, see Vism 306/9.38: “What am I angry with: his head hair, body hair…?”
Perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive and in the repulsive
(3) And, bhikshus, for what purpose, should a monk dwell perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive as well as in the repulsive?
   5   Thinking, ‘May no lust arise in me towards objects that arouse lust, and may no hate arise in me towards objects that arouse hate’—for this purpose, bhikshus, should a monk dwell perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive as well as in the repulsive.
   [He pervades both agreeable and disagreeable objects with the thought of foulness or regards them as impermanent; thus he perceives both as repulsive.]

Perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive and in the unrepulsive
(4) And, bhikshus, for what purpose, should a monk dwell perceiving the unrepulsive in the repulsive as well as in the unrepulsive?
   6   Thinking, ‘May no hate arise in me towards objects that arouse hate, and may no lust arise in me towards objects that arouse lust’—for this purpose, bhikshus, should a monk dwell perceiving the repulsive in the unrepulsive as well as in the unrepulsive.
   [He pervades both disagreeable and agreeable objects with lovingkindness or regards them as physical elements; thus he perceives both as unrepulsive.]

Dwelling in equanimity
(5) And, bhikshus, for what purpose, should a monk, rejecting both the unrepulsive and the repulsive, dwell in equanimity, mindful and clearly aware?
   7   Thinking, ‘In any situation, anywhere and in any way, may no lust ever arise in me towards objects that arouse lust, nor hate towards objects that arouse hate, nor delusion towards objects liable to arouse delusion’—for this purpose, bhikshus, should a monk, rejecting both the repulsive and the unrepulsive, dwell equanimous, mindful and clearly aware.
   [He regards form as only form, sound as only sound, smell as only smell, taste as only taste, touch as only touch, thought as only thought, he is neither glad nor sad, but abides in equanimity, mindful and clearly aware.]

— evaṁ —
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