7

Cūḷa Dukkha-k, khandha Sutta
The Shorter Discourse on the Mass of Suffering | M 14/1:91-95
Theme: The nature of true happiness
Translated by Piya Tan ©2003

1 Mahānāma’s problem

Mahānāma the Sakyā, the Sutta’s interlocutor, was Sukk’odana’s son and the brother of the monks Anuruddha and Ānanda. As such, he was Suddhodana’s nephew and a cousin of the Buddha. However, he chose to remain a householder, while Anuruddha became a monk.¹ In this Sutta—the Cūḷa Dukkha-k-khandha Sutta—Mahānāma complains to the Buddha that he (Mahānāma) still has defiled mental states, especially lustful thoughts, despite understanding the nature of the three unwholesome roots.

The Buddha replies that the reason is that Mahānāma still enjoys sense-desires and that he would not be able to transcend sense-desires unless he has experienced “zest and joy (pītī, sukhā) that are apart from sensual pleasures or something more peaceful than that” [§4], that is, the pleasures of mental dhyāna (jhāna).

The “zest and joy that are apart from sense-desires” here refers to the pītī and sukhā of the first and second dhyānas. “Something more peaceful than that” refers to the higher dhyānas. “From this passage it seems that a disciple may attain even to the second path and fruit without possessing mundane jhāna” (M:ÑB 1201 n208). According to Bodhi,

The first part of this statement implies that the subject is at least a stream-enterer, for he is referred to as a “noble disciple” (ariya-sāvaka). Though the term ariya-sāvaka is occasionally used in loose sense that need not be taken to imply attainment of stream-entry, here the expression “seeing with perfect wisdom” [4] seems to establish his identity as at least a stream-enterer. Yet the second part of the statement implies he does not possess even the first Jhāna, for the phrase used to describe what he lacks [“zest and joy that are apart from unwholesome states or something more peaceful than that”] precisely echoes the wording of the basic formula for the first Jhāna. The state “[has clearly seen with right] wisdom” [santataramī] would, of course, be the higher Jhānas” (Bodhi 2001:52)

This is one of the passages that Bodhi quotes as “instances of stream-enterers who are not attainers of Jhānas” (2001:51 f).

It should be noted here that kāma as used in this Sutta has a broad sense, that is, both as the subjective defilement (kilesa) or “sense-desire” and as the object of desire (vatthu) or “(objects of) sensual pleasure.”² In other words, kāma translates into English as “sense-desire” as well as “sensual pleasure,” depending on the context, but the one sense should be taken as implicit in the other in the English translation.

2 The Sutta’s remarkable features

The Cūḷa Dukkha-k-khandha Sutta is remarkable in two ways: firstly, it contains a statement suggesting that dhyāna (jhāna) need not be attained even up to the second stage of sainthood, ie the stage of once-return (sākādagāmi) (that had been attained by Mahānāma) [§§2-5] and, secondly, it contains an interesting discussion between the Buddha and the nirgranthas (early Jains) [§§15-22].

The middle portion of this Sutta closely parallels the Mahā Dukkha-k-khandha Sutta (M 13.7-15) with a definitive explanation of sense-desire, the battle simile and graphic examples of suffering [§§6-14].

² On the importance of zest and joy (pītī, sukhā) in meditation and jhāna in general, see esp Ajahn Brahmavamso, The Jhānas, 2003.
This section is be able to stand on its own and in fact fits better in the Mahā Dukkha-khandha Sutta than here. The two Suttas should be studied together since they deal with the same topic of dukkha.

The concluding half of the Sutta contains an excellent example of how the Buddha uses the Socratic method (i.e., patient questioning of the audience so that it recognizes the true conclusion without the teacher telling it is true) in a discussion with the nirgranthas (early Jains) and Mahāvīra (the founder of Jainism) himself [§§15-22]. In this connection, this Sutta should be studied with the Devadaha Sutta (M 101).4

The Buddha’s closing remark that he “dwells more happily than Seniya Bimbisāra” [§22] gives a familiar echo of the (Hatthaka) Āḷavaka Sutta (A 3.34/1:136 f).5
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1 [91] Thus have I heard.

At one time the Blessed One was staying in Nigrodha’s Grove6 near Kapila,vaṭṭhu in the Sakya country.

The three unwholesome roots

2 Then Mahānāma the Sakya approached the Blessed One, and having saluted him, sat down at one side. Sitting thus at one side, Mahānāma the Sakya said this to the Blessed One:

“For a long time has the Dharma been taught by the Blessed One thus: ‘Lust is a mental impurity; hate is a mental impurity; delusion is a mental impurity.’ And I too understand the Dharma taught by the Blessed One

that lust is a mental impurity,
that hate is a mental impurity,
that delusion is a mental impurity,

and yet at times some thoughts of lust, thoughts of hate, thoughts of delusion assail my mind and remain.

I have wondered, bhante, what state is still not abandoned by me internally, on account of which at times these thoughts of lust, of hate and of delusion assail my mind and remain?”7

4 M 101/2:214-228 = SD 18.4.
5 (Hatthaka) Āḷavaka Sutta (A 3.34/1:136 f) = SD 4.8.
6 Nigrodha was a Sakya who donated his park to the Sangha. It was here that the Buddha rose up into the air and performed the twin wonder (yamaka pāṭihārīya), in which water and fire sprayed out of his pores, to convince his proud relatives of his attainment. At the end of the psychic display, a shower of rain fell wetting only those who wished to be wet, and in this connection he related Vessantara J (J 547) (V 1:82; J 1:88 f, 6:479; BA 295; DhA 3:163; Mvst 3:101, 107, 114, 138, 141, 179). It was here too that Mahā Pajāpatī Gotamī first requested to join the Order but was turned down (V 2:253; A 4:274). It is said that the Buddha, during his first stay here, delivered Cariyā,piṭaka and Buddha,vaṁsa to Sāriputta (CA 1, 7; BA 5).
7 Comy says that Mahānāma had long ago attained the fruit of once-return, which only weakens lust, hate and delusion, but does not eradicate them. He had the mistaken notion that lust, hate and delusion were eradicated by the path of once-return. Thus, when he saw that they were not abandoned and asked the Buddha the cause of their arising. Even saints on the path (short of Arhats) can be mistaken about which defilements are abandoned by which path (MA 2:61).
3 “Mahānāma, there is still that state that is not yet abandoned internally on account of which the thoughts of lust, thoughts of hate, thoughts of delusion assail your mind and remain. For, Mahānāma, if that state had been abandoned, you would not be living the household life, you would not be enjoying sense-desires. But, Mahānāma, because that state has not been abandoned, you are still\(^8\) living the household life, you are enjoying sense-desires (and sensual pleasures).\(^9\)

**Extrasensory pleasure**

4 Mahānāma, even if a noble disciple has clearly seen as it really is, with right wisdom, that sense-desires bring little solace [gratification], but much suffering, much despair, more danger here,\(^10\) so long as he does not gain zest and joy that are apart from sense-pleasures, apart from unwholesome states, or something more peaceful than that, he would not be able to be unaffected by sense-pleasures.\(^11\)

But, Mahānāma, when a noble disciple has clearly seen as it really is with right wisdom that sense-pleasures bring little solace, but much suffering, much despair, more danger here, and he gains zest and joy that are apart from sense-pleasures, from unwholesome states, or something more peaceful than that,\(^12\) then he would be able to be unaffected by sense-desires.\(^92\)

5 Before my awakening, Mahānāma, when I was still only an unawakened Bodhisattva, I too clearly saw as it really is with right wisdom that sense-desires bring little satisfaction, but much suffering, much despair, great danger here, but that so long as I did not gain zest and joy that are apart from unwholesome states or something more peaceful than that, I was not able to be unaffected by sense-desires.

But, Mahānāma, when I clearly saw it as it really is with right wisdom that sense-desires bring little satisfaction, but much suffering, much despair, great danger here, and I gained zest and joy that are apart from unwholesome states and to something more peaceful than that, I was able to be unaffected by sense-desires.

**Sense-desires\(^{13}\)**

**Gratification of sense-desires**

6 (1) And what, Mahānāma, is the gratification with regards to sense-desires?\(^{14}\)

---

\(^{8}\) “Still,” from yasmā...tasmā, “because...therefore”.

\(^{9}\) “Sense-desires,” kāma. The word kāma is also tr. as “sensual pleasure” and may refer to either the subjective experience (kileṣa) or the object of desire (vatthu) (Nīma 1.1, Vbh 256, DhsA 62; cf. A 3:410 ff.).

\(^{10}\) See V 4:134.

\(^{11}\) The whole para: App’assadā kāma bahu,dukkhā bah ‘upāyāsā, ādīnavo ettha bhīyayo ‘ti— iti ce ‘pi, mahā,nāma, ariya,sāvakkassa yathā,bhūtam samma-p,paññāya sudītham hoti, so ca anañat’eva kāmehi anañatra akusalehi dhammehi pīti,suchām nādhigacchati, anañā vā tato santataram, atha kho so n’eva tāva anañat’ kāmesu hoti. The “zest and joy that are apart from sense-desires” here refers to the pīti and sukha of the first and second dhyānas. “Something more peaceful than that” refers to the higher dhyānas. “From this passage it seems that a disciple may attain even to the second path and fruit without possessing mundane jhāna” (M:NB 1201 n208). Bodhi: “The first part of this statement implies that the subject is at least a stream-enterer, for he is referred to as a ‘noble disciple’ (ariya,-sāvaka). Though the term ariya-sāvaka is occasionally used in loose sense that need not be taken to imply attainment of stream-entry, here the expression [has clearly seen with right] wisdom seems to establish his identity as at least a stream-enterer. Yet the second part of the statement implies he does not possess even the first Jhāna, for the phrase used to describe what he lacks [“zest and joy that are apart from unwholesome states or something more peaceful than that”] precisely echoes the wording of the basic formula for the first Jhāna. The state “more peaceful than that” [santatarāni] would, of course, be the higher Jhānas” (2001:52). This is one of the passages that Bodhi qu as “instances of stream-enterers who are not attainers of Jhānas” (2001:51 f.).

\(^{12}\) “Something more peaceful than that,” tato santataram. Comy explains that while zest and joy (pīti,sukha) pertain to the first 2 dhyānas, “something higher” is connected with the 3rd and 4th dhyānas (MA 2:63). On jhāna, see Sāmañña,phala S (D 2.75-82/1:73-76) = SD 8.10.

\(^{13}\) §§6-14 here parallels Mahā Dukkha-k, khandha S (M 13.7-15/1:85-88) = SD 6.9.
Mahānāma, they are these five cords of sensual pleasure.\(^{15}\) What are the five?\(^{16}\)

**Visual forms**
- cognizable by the eye
  - that are wished for, desirable, agreeable and pleasing, connected with sensual pleasure, arousing lust.

**Sounds**
- cognizable by the ear
  - that are wished for, desirable, agreeable and pleasing, connected with sensual pleasure, arousing lust.

**Smells**
- cognizable by the nose
  - that are wished for, desirable, agreeable and pleasing, connected with sensual pleasure, arousing lust.

**Tastes**
- cognizable by the tongue
  - that are wished for, desirable, agreeable and pleasing, connected with sensual pleasure, arousing lust.

**Touches**
- cognizable by the body
  - that are wished for, desirable, agreeable and pleasing, connected with sensual pleasure, arousing lust.

These, Mahānāma, are the five cords of sensual pleasure.

Now, Mahānāma, the (physical) joy and (mental) pleasure\(^{17}\) arise on account of these five cords of sensual pleasure. This is the gratification with regards to sense-desires.

### The disadvantage with regards to sense-desires

7 \((2)\) And what, Mahānāma, is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires?

Here, Mahānāma, a son of family earns a living by means of various skills—finger-counting or accounting or calculating or farming or trading or husbandry or archery or the civil service or some other craft—facing the cold, facing the heat, being afflicted by gadflies, mosquitoes, wind, sun, creeping things [and reptiles]; (even) dying from hunger and thirst.

Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, \([M 1:86]\) the cause being simply sense-desires.

8 Mahānāma, if he finds that no wealth accrues to him from his striving, exertion and effort, he sorrows, suffers, weeps, beats his breasts and becomes distressed, crying:

---

\(^{14}\) Kamāna assāda. The 3 factors—gratification (assāda) [6], disadvantage (or danger) (ādīnava) [7], and escape (nissaraṇa) [not mentioned here but at Mahā Dukkha-khamdha S, M 13.6/1:85]—form the latter part of the “seven cases” (satta-ṭhāna), viz, understanding of the aggregate (form etc), its arising, its ending and the way to its ending; understanding the gratification, the disadvantage and the escape in the case (ṭhāna) of the aggregate (Satta-ṭhāna S, S 22.57/3.61-65) = SD 29.7. On this passage, cf Saṅgīta S (A 7.48/4:57-59) = SD 8.7, on how sexual feeling arise. The following section occurs in Araṇa,vibhaṅga S (M 139.9/3:234) = SD 7.8, Mahā Suṇātā S (M 122.14/3:114) = SD 11.4.

\(^{15}\) “Five cords of sensual pleasure” (pañca kāma,guna), so called because they are the objects of the five physical senses (V 1:3, 17, 225, 293, 3:111; D 1:172, 2:243, 3:60, 238; M 1:47; S 1:9, 132; A 3.411.3 ff; Dh 48, 415; Sn 436; Tha 254; J 3:466, 4:172, 173, 469, 6:127; Ap 547; Nm 1; Vbh 256; Mvn 3.417.2; MA 1:199, 131, 2:261). They are also the qualities of desire, ie, that which brings pleasure to the senses, or desirable sense-experience, as listed in the passage here. They are “cords” (guna) because they bind one to them, and they “multiply” (guna) themselves as a result, binding one ever tighter to them. Kāma is also desire personified, ie the god of love, sometimes identified with Māra (Tha 3.88; BA 289). It is interesting to note here that there is no “mental pleasure” (somanassa) in the list. Such mental pleasures generally arise through mental focus or meditation, and as such are not regarded as guna, insofar as they provide a lasting sense of satisfaction and inner peace that lead to letting-go.

\(^{16}\) As at D 1:245; M 1:85, 3:234; A 3:411.

\(^{17}\) “(Physical) joy and (mental) pleasure,” sukkha,somanassa.

\(^{18}\) “Being afflicted by,” samphasēhi rissamāno, lit “being hurt by the touches (of gadflies, etc).”

\(^{19}\) “Simply,” eva.
‘Alas! My striving is in vain! Alas! My effort is fruitless!’

Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires.

9 Mahānāma, if wealth accrues to him from his striving, exertion and effort, he feels pain and displeasure on account of having to protect his wealth, thinking: ‘What (shall I do) now so that kings would not seize it, thieves would not steal it, fire would not burn it, water would not wash it away, nor unloving heirs take it away?’

**Even as he guards and protects his wealth, kings seize it, thieves steal it, fire burns it, water washes it away, unloving heirs take it away.**\(^{20}\) He sorrows, suffers, weeps, beats his breasts, and becomes distressed, crying: ‘What was mine is no more!’

Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires.

10 Again, Mahānāma, with sense-desire as the cause, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, kings quarrel with kings, kshatriyas quarrel with kshatriyas, brahmins quarrel with brahmins, householders quarrel with householders, mother quarrels with son,\(^{21}\) son quarrels with mother, father quarrels with son, son quarrels with father, brother quarrels with brother, sister quarrels with sister, companion quarrels with companion,

And here, having fallen into quarrels, strife and disputes, they come to blows with one another using fists, clods of earth, sticks and knives—bringing upon themselves death and deadly suffering.

Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires.

**The battle imageries**

11 Again, Mahānāma, with sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires,

they, holding sword and shield, wearing quiver and arrow, they charge ahead into battle arrayed in double ranks, arrows flying, spears flying, swords flashing. Here, arrows wound them, spears wound them, swords chop off their heads. Here, they suffer death and deadly suffering.

Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires.

12 Again, Mahānāma, with sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires,

they, holding sword and shield, wearing quiver and arrow, they clamber up the fortress wall freshly smeared [with lime],\(^{22}\) arrows flying, spears flying, [M 1:87] swords flashing. Here, arrows wound them, spears wound them, boiling cow-dung\(^{23}\) rain down on them, spiked weights\(^{23}\) crush them in large numbers, swords (then) chop off their heads. Here, they suffer death and deadly suffering.

---

\(^{20}\) This quotation occurs in Dīgha,jānu S (A 8.54.5/4:281 f) = SD 5.10, where a lay follower is instructed on how to guard his wealth. Here, however, where the teaching, being addressed to a saint, has a more realistic tone. See also (Asi,bandhaka,putta) Kulā S (S 42.9/4:322-324) = SD 7.11.

\(^{21}\) Puttena throughout according to ancient Indian idiom; we may take this as “child” in our context.

\(^{22}\) “They clamber up the fortress wall freshly smeared [with lime].” addāva,lapenā upakāriyo. M:NB has “they charge slippery bastions”. See Comy (MA 2:58).

\(^{23}\) “Boiling cowdung,” pakkaçattiya (boiling). Be chakaçaakaīyā (which Comy glosses as kuthita,gomaya, which could mean “cooked cowdung” or “stinking cowdung”).
Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires.

**Immoral conduct**

13 Again, Mahānāma, with sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires,

they break into houses, they carry away their plunder, they commit burglary, they wait in ambush, they go with the women of others. Kings then seize them inflicting on them various kinds of torture: having them whipped, caned, clubbed, their hands cut off, their feet cut off, their ears cut off, their noses cut off, their heads cut off; having them subjected to ‘the porridge pot’, to ‘the polished-shell shave’, to ‘Rāhu’s mouth’, to ‘the fiery garland’, to ‘the flaming hand’, to ‘the blades of grass, to ‘the dark dress’, to [being strapped to the ground by an iron ring around each limb, fastened by iron spikes and then surrounded by fire, called] the black antelope’, to [having pieces of their flesh cut and hung on] the meat hooks, to ‘the coins’ [disc-slice], to ‘the lye pickling’ [immersion in strong alkaline solution], to ‘the pivoting pin [where a spike is driven in his skull from ear to ear], to ‘the rolled-up straw mat’ [being beaten up]; and having them splashed with boiling oil, and having them thrown to the dogs to be devoured, having them impaled alive on stakes, and having their heads cut off with a sword.

That man either meets with death right there, or suffers deadly pains.

Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires.

14 Again, Mahānāma, with sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires,

through speech and through mind. Having misconducted themselves through body, through speech and through mind, after death, when the bodies have broken up, they re-appear in a plane of misery, a bad destination, a lower realm, in hell.

Now, Mahānāma, this is the disadvantage with regards to sense-desires, a mass of suffering seen here and now, having sense-desires as the cause, sense-desires as the source, sense-desires as the basis, the cause being simply sense-desires.

**Austerities of the Nirgranthas**

15 Now, Mahānāma, at one time, I was staying on Mount Vulture Peak (Gījha,kūta) near Rājagaha. At that time, some nirgranthas living on Kāla,silā [Black Rock] on Isi,gili’s side were suffering sharp, piercing, racking pains through standing upright, refusing seats.

---

24 “Spiked weights,” abhivaggena (lit “with large heavy objects”) = sata,dantena (with a hundred teeth) (MA 2:58).
26 As at Bala,pāṇḍita S (M 129.4/3:164) = SD 2.22 & as the 4th divine messenger in Devadūta S (M 130.7/3:171) = SD 2.23.
27 NmA 278 ad Nm 154 = M 13.14 (1:87,15).
28 Comy notes that “escape” (nissarana) is not mentioned here (as in Mahā Dukkha-k,khandha S, M 13.16-17/1:87) = SD 6.9, as this teaching is given in connection with the “middle way” (V 1:10), avoiding the extremes of sensual indulgence and of self-mortification (MA 2:63). The following section, giving an example of the extreme of self-mortification, in fact, shows how “escape” is effected.
29 A passage very similar to this section appears at the beginning of Deva,daha S (M 101.3-5/2:214 f) = SD 18.4.
30 Nigantha, ie the Jains, led by nirgrantha Nāṭa,putta (also called Mahā,vīra).
31 “(They) were suffering…pains,” vedanā vediyanti, lit “feeling feelings”.
32 Tena kho pana samayena sambahulā niganṭhā isigili,passe kāla,silāyaṁ ubbhaṭṭhakā honti āsana,paṭikkhitā, opakkamikā dukkha tihbā kharā katukā vedanā vedayanti. As at M 1:78; A 1:296, 2:206. For refs on underscored.
16 Then, Mahānāma, when it was evening, having emerged from my retreat I approached the nirgranthas and said this to them:

‘Avuso nirgranthas, why are you suffering sharp, piercing, racking pains through standing upright, refusing seats.’

17 Mahānāma, when this was said, the nirgranthas said this to me:

‘Avuso, the nirgrantha Nāṭa,putta’ [Mahā,vīra] is omniscient, all-seeing—he claims to have complete knowledge and vision thus:34

‘Whether I am walking or standing or sleeping or [93] awake, knowledge and vision are continuously and uninterruptedly present in me.’35

He says thus:

‘Nirgranthas, you have done bad deeds before. Exhaust them through sharp painful austerities. And restraining your body, speech and mind right here and now, you would not be committing further karma. Thus, by the destruction of old karma through asceticism36 and by doing no fresh karma, there will be no more flow37 of karma through its being destroyed. With the destruction of karma, there is the destruction of suffering; with the destruction of suffering there is the destruction of feeling; with the destruction of feeling, all suffering will be exhausted.’

And this is what we find pleasing and approve of, and in which we rejoice.’

18 When this was said, I said to the nirgranthas:

‘Avuso nirgranthas, do you know for sure38 that you actually existed before or that you did not?’39

‘No, avuso.’

‘Avuso nirgranthas, do you know for sure that you actually did not do any bad before or that the contrary is the case [that you did not do them]?’

‘No, avuso.’

‘Avuso nirgranthas, do you know for sure that you did not do such and such a bad action?’

‘No, avuso.’

‘Avuso nirgranthas, do you know for sure that so much suffering has been exhausted; so much suffering should be exhausted; with the exhaustion of so much suffering, all suffering would be exhausted?’

‘No, avuso.’

‘Avuso nirgranthas, do you know for sure what unwholesome karma you have abandoned, what wholesome karma has been cultivated here and now?’

see Sabba,kamma,jaha S (U 3.1.5/21) @ SD 39.3 n. The Nirgranthas or Jains believe that severe austerities are needed to remove the accumulation of past karma. “The Buddha brings in the Jain practice of asceticism to demonstrate that his own teaching is a ‘middle way’ free from the two extremes of sensual indulgence and self-mortification.” (M:ÑB 1201 n 209).

33 Nigāṇṭha nāṭa,putta.

34 Nirgrantha Nāṭaputta’s claim to omniscience, see M 2:31, A 3:74. In criticizing the notion that a teacher could be omniscient, Jayatilleke, argues that “his lack of omniscience would be evident from his actions. For instance he enters an empty abode and receives no alms, a dog bites him, he meets a fierce elephant, horse or bull, has to ask for the names of people, of villages or hamlets or to find his way.” (1963:202-204). Ṇāṇamoli & Bodhi similarly say that “The fact that he makes bad judgements and must ask questions belies his claim to omniscience.” (M:ÑB 1283 n753). See Sandaka S (M 76.21/1:519) = SD 35.7.

35 This Nigāṇṭha claim of omniscience is also made in Cūḷa Sakul’udāyi S (M 79.6/2:30), which is criticized by the Buddha himself. Both Pūraṇa Kassapa and nirgrantha Nāṭaputta make this claim in Lok’ayatikā Brāhmaṇā S (A 9.38/4:428 f) = SD 35.2. At Sandaka S this claim is attr to “some teacher” (M 76.21/1:519) = SD 35.7. Cf A 1:220, 221. See Jayatilleke 1963:203 f.

36 “Asceticism,” tapa, lit “burning” (cf Lat tepor, heat), ie the burning away of defilements (usually through self-mortification). From here up to §19, similarly expressed in Deva,daha S (M 101.2-5/2:214 f) = SD 18.4.


38 “For sure,” pana.

39 Kim pana tumhe...jānātha: ahuvām eva mayan pubbe, na nāhuvamhā ti, lit “Do you know for sure, ‘We actually existed before that it is not the case we did not exist’?”
‘No, avuso.’

19 ‘So, avuso nirgranthas, it seems that you do not know whether you have existed before or not. You do not know whether you have done bad karma before or not. You do not know which particular bad karma you have done. You do not know how much suffering has been exhausted; how much suffering should be exhausted; with the exhaustion of how much suffering, all suffering would be exhausted. You do not know what unwholesome karma you have abandoned, what wholesome karma has been cultivated here and now.

That being the case, avuso nirgranthas, those who are violent, with blood on their hands, doers of cruel deeds, when they are reborn amongst human beings, they renounce the world as nirgranthas!’

How is happiness gained?

20 ‘Avuso Gotama, happiness is not to be gained through happiness; but happiness is to be gained through pain. For, [94] avuso Gotama, if happiness were to be gained through happiness, then Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, would have gained happiness; then Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, would be living more happily than the venerable Gotama.

‘Surely these words have been uttered by the venerable nirgranthas rashly, without reflection, that happiness is not to be gained through happiness; but...through pain...if happiness were to be gained through happiness… then Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, would be living more happily than the venerable Gotama.

Surely, it is I who should be counter-questioned here, thus:

―Which venerable one lives more happily: Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, or the venerable Gotama?’

‘Indeed, avuso Gotama, we uttered those words rashly, without reflection… But let that be. Just for now, let us then ask the venerable Gotama thus: “Which venerable one lives more happily: Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, or the venerable Gotama?’”

Who dwells more happily?

21 ‘In that case, avuso nirgranthas, I will ask you a question in return.’ Answer it as you please. What do you think, avuso nirgranthas:

Could Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, dwell without moving his body, without saying a word, for seven nights and seven days, experiencing only happiness?’

‘No, indeed, avuso.’

‘Could Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, dwell without moving his body, without saying a word, for six nights and six days?’

‘No, indeed, avuso.’

‘Could Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, dwell without moving his body, without saying a word, for five nights and five days?’

‘No, indeed, avuso.’

‘Could Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, dwell without moving his body, without saying a word, for four nights and four days?’

‘No, indeed, avuso.’

‘Could Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, dwell without moving his body, without saying a word, for three nights and three days?’

41 The Buddha’s trademark humour is evident here. The Jains believe that whatever one experiences is due to one’s past karma. If that were so, the Buddha argues, the severe pains to which they subjected themselves as part of their ascetic practice would be rooted in the unwholesome actions in previous lives. For the Buddha’s positive answer to this Jain wrong view, see Kukkura,vatika S (M 57.11/3:390 f) = SD 23.11.
42 “I will ask a question in return,” patipuccissāmi. On the 4 ways of answering a question, see Pañha S (A 4.42) and Miln 114 f: see Abhaya Rāja,kumāra S (M 58) @ SD 7.12 (4).
‘No, indeed, avuso.’
Could Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, dwell without moving his body, without saying a word, for two nights and two days?
‘No, indeed, avuso.’
‘Could Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, dwell without moving his body, without saying a word, for one night and one day, experiencing only happiness?’
‘No, indeed, avuso.’
22 ‘But I, avuso nirgrantha s, can dwell without moving my body, without saying a word, for one night and one day, experiencing only happiness. I can dwell so for two nights and two days.
I, avuso nirgrantha s, can dwell without moving my body, without saying a word, for three nights and three days.
I, avuso nirgrantha s, can dwell without moving my body, without saying a word, for four nights and four days.
I, avuso nirgrantha s, can dwell without moving my body, without saying a word, for five nights and five days.
I, avuso nirgrantha s, can dwell without moving my body, without saying a word, for six nights and six days.
I can dwell without moving my body, without saying a word, for seven nights and seven days, experiencing only happiness!33
What do you think, avuso nirgrantha s, that being the case, who dwells more happily: Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha, or I?’
‘That being the case, the venerable Gotama dwells more happily than Seniya Bimbisāra, the rajah of Magadha.’

The Blessed One said this. Mahānāma the Sakya joyfully approved45 of the Blessed One’s word.

— evaṁ —
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33 Comy says that the Buddha is referring to his own experience of the joy of fruition attainment (arahatta, phala, -samāpatti), ie the attainment of the fruit of Arhathood. Qu at Kvu 459. The Mahā Parinibbāṇa S (D 16) contains an interesting episode of the Buddha’s transfiguration following the offering of “golden” robes by Pukkusa, a pupil of Āḷāra Kālāma, who meets the Buddha resting under the tree. Pukkusa, proud of his teacher, Āḷāra, who was also the Bodhisattva’s first teacher, boasts that Āḷāra was such a remarkable meditator who “neither saw nor heard 500 carts passing nearby”. The Buddha replies that he himself, when meditating, is totally unaffected by a greater commotion: “while conscious, not to see or hear anything in heavy rainstorm, when lightning flashes and thunder crashes”—which, he asks Pukkusa, is more difficult to do? In fact, when the Buddha was staying in the threshing-house (bhus’āgāra, i.e. where harvest is threshed) at Atumā, a village between Kusinagara and Sāvatthī, there was a bad thunderstorm, where “two farmers, brothers, and four oxen were killed; and a lot of people went out of Atumā to where the two brothers and four oxen were killed.” The Buddha was walking in meditation just outside the building, but was totally unaffected by the whole incident. (D 2.131 f.; cf. V 1.249 f.)

44 On a similar note, see (Hatthaka) Āḷavaka S (A 3.34/1:136 f) = SD 4.8.
35 “Joyfully approved,” attamanā…abhinandum.
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