Growing our own Bodhi sapling

Source: **(Māra) Kin Nu Sīha**, are there others who can teach like the Buddha? (S 4.12, SD 61.26) © Piya Tan, 2024.¹

1.2 THE (Māra) Kin Nu Sīha SUTTA SIGNIFICANCE

- **1.2.1** During Dharma teachings, there is always some kind of distraction. Usually, we are each distracted in our own way. Here the Sutta presents a case of a major distraction as the whole congregation is able to see Māra challenging the Buddha. We are not told how Māra appears to everyone, except that only the Buddha knows him.
- **1.2.2** Taking Māra as playing a mythical role of "**the distractor**," we can imagine him as anyone from the crowd or someone outside the crowd, since it is a public teaching. Clearly, Māra appears as someone who takes prominence in some way to hold the crowd's attention. On a simple level, we can imagine someone, lacking faith or respect, asking the Buddha how he knows all the things he is talking about.

Hence, the question is not about knowing the Dharma, but about the Buddha's authority or qualification as a Dharma teacher. This is, of course, a valid question. Today, we are likely to accept the fact that if the speaker were a successful professional or has some kind of title—even a "Dr" (medical or professional) or any academic title—he should be "qualified" or *entitled* to talk on Buddhism.²

- **1.2.3** It would be interesting to investigate why the social trend is to look up to the <u>status</u> of a speaker rather than the **wisdom** of a teacher. Perhaps, it is because such a society (like Singapore or Malaysia) is evolving economically and politically, and there is great emphasis on material progress (wealth, infrastructure, technology, etc), and the country's education stresses more on material success and status. Thus Buddhism is seen as merely a means or tool for this material explosion. Hence, a higher premium is placed on teachers who are perceived to be able to "deliver" these worldly blessings.
- **1.2.4** When a teacher or leader is defined by his race, status, title, wealth, or power, then what he teaches is likely to be a "privatized" brand of Buddhism. Hence, the speaker may say: "I don't know much about Buddhism … " or "This is my personal view … ." In fact, it would be difficult to ascertain whether such a personal teaching is Buddha Dharma or even useful in our wholesome daily life.

Teachers and speakers who seek the support of others, or take Buddhism as a means of earning a living, are likely to preach some marketable form of Buddhism labelled as "mindfulness," "Abhidhamma," "scientific Buddhism," or "modern Dhamma," and to claim (subtly or openly) to have some kind of powers or status. All these are likely to be attractive marketing strategies in a materialistic society.

1.2.5 In **the Gārava Sutta** (S 6.2), the Buddha advises us to seek a good teacher to guide us in the cultivation of moral conduct, meditation and wisdom. However, when we are unable to do so, we should seek this guidance from the teaching or Dharma itself. The Buddha himself, not seeing

¹ https://www.themindingcentre.org/dharmafarer/wp-content/uploads/61.26-Mara-Kin-Nu-Siha-S-s4.12-piya.pdf

² The title serves as a justification for the relocation of power—in exactly the same way that the priest or the bishop had power because they were holy, the bureaucrat, businessman and academic had power because they were educated. Anyone who wants to be judged by the badge that they carry makes me suspect that they fear judgement on the basis of the quality of their work. If you have to claim the title of 'Dr', which is something you earned historically, you're probably not doing anything which would justify its award currently. (Matt Jenkins 27 Jan 2025)

anyone with his understanding and mastery of the Dharma, declares, "Let me, then, honour, respect, and dwell in dependence only on **this very Dharma** to which I have fully awakened." In other words, even the Buddha respects the Dharma, the teaching.³

To respect the teaching above the teacher means that:

- (1) **moral conduct** (*sīla*) (the Vinaya or the precepts) is to be followed and respected by both monastics and the laity;
- (2) **mental cultivation** (*samādhi*) means that monastics should train themselves in meditation to gain dhyana, and to be <u>calm and mindful</u>, and to inspire the laity to do the same;
- (3) **wisdom** ($pa\tilde{n}\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$) should be cultivated from a calm and clear mind that is mindful and aware to gain <u>insight into true reality</u>, that is, the impermanence of all life and all things of this world.
- **1.2.6** To respect Dharma means giving Buddha Dharma priority in our lives and community. When Buddhism is new in a country (like Malaysia or Singapore)⁴ we are likely to depend on race-based Buddhism: Thai Buddhism, Sinhalese Buddhism, Burmese Buddhism, Chinese Buddhism and Japanese Buddhism. If any such mission puts Buddhism above race, then, it will promote <u>local</u> Buddhism. That is, promoting local vocations (sangha) and encouraging locals to master the Dharma for themselves and produce local Dharma-spirited teachers.

Our colonial masters have left but we still have foreign Buddhist masters colonizing us. Those who do not know history are doomed to repeat it, a samsaric history. The Buddha teaches us to be self-reliant (atta,saraṇa),⁵ but we welcome and share Dharma with all who seek or love Dharma.

Buddhists in the old "Buddhist" countries such as China, ⁶ Japan, ⁷ and Korea, ⁸ because of their history, are seeing their Sangha (monastic systems) becoming secularized; this is also fast happening in Sri Lanka. ⁹ Many of their priests are openly earning a living, taking up academic education, even living like the laity (that is, without following the Vinaya). The Buddhism that they preach is often ritualistic and business-like (such as promoting "merit-transfer"). In fact, when we join such a group, we are actually financially supporting the priests, paying for Buddhist services, and following some ethnic customs rather than those of early Buddhism (what the Buddha has taught).

1.2.7 My point is that if we really wish to nurture a truly socially integrated and spiritually living Buddhism that will attract local Buddhists, especially the educated and talented individuals, we have to start laying the foundations, growing the Bodhi sapling in our own soil, now. We will then see the good karma of our **great commission** fruiting into a Dharma-spirited Buddhism in the next generation. Otherwise, we are only conditioning our children and posterity to continue serving and funding foreign Buddhisms, and never really knowing Buddha Dharma.¹⁰

Further, Buddhists in the old "Buddhist" countries—India, Tibet, Sri Lanka, Myanmar, Thailand, Laos, Khmer, Vietnam, China, Korea, Japan and elsewhere—should also work to revive early Buddhism, making Buddhism more relevant and beneficial to our times. Such changes usually begin as an idea, then a group works at it over time, over generations. When education, science and

³ S 6.2/1:138-140 (SD 12.3).

⁴ Traditional Buddhism is only about a century old in Malaysia and Singapore. Sutta-based Buddhism is only available to us in recent decades. We have to work hard for **early Buddhism**, or <u>we will get the Buddhism that we deserve</u>, and we will never know what it really is.

⁵ D 3:58,7, cf DA 3:98,8 = SA 3:326,17; DA 2:193,1 = SA 3:302,2 ($atta,gatik\bar{a}\ hotha,\ m\bar{a}\ a\tilde{n}\tilde{n}a.gatik\bar{a}$).

⁶ On Buddhism in <u>China</u>, see **How Buddhism Became Chinese**, SD 40b.

⁷ On Buddhism in <u>Japan</u>, see **Monastics, sex and marriage**, SD 66.13.

⁸ On Buddhism in Korea, see SD 66.13 (4.5).

⁹ On Buddhism in Sri Lanka, see SD 60.1f (6.1.1). On the fate of Theravada in SE Asia, see SD 60.1f (6.1).

¹⁰ In the future when you are reading this, look around to see if there are local Buddhist centres that teach early Buddhism, filled with educated and talented people who are making significant improvements to local social services, education and culture. I do foresee such a time.

Revisioning Buddhism, Piya Tan ©Tan Beng Sin, 2025

culture can progress, making us more humane, why can't Buddhism be reformed to be closer to Buddha Dhamma?

This is happening with Buddhism in the West. It begins with our own personal lives.

R912 Revisioning Buddhism 357
[an occasional re-look at the Buddha's Example and Teachings]
Copyright by Tan Beng Sin 2025